## For the Northern District of California

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9		
10	ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,	No. C 10-03561 WHA
11	Plaintiff,	
12	V.	
13	GOOGLE INC.,	REQUEST FOR RESPONSE
14	Defendant.	
15	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
16	Oracle is hereby requested to respond to Google's contention that "Oracle simply	
17 18	misrepresents what Google's experts have said" regarding alleged inconsistencies between the	
19	respective positions taken by Google's experts on fair use and damages (Dkt. No. 1543 at 2).	
20	Oracle's response shall include as attachments the reports in question and shall set forth the	
21	quotations demonstrating the specific inconsistencies alleged and pincites for the quoted	
22	language. The response is due by <b>FRIDAY</b> , <b>MARCH 25 AT NOON</b> . Oracle's response shall not	
23	include any replies to any other issues.	
24		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: March 22, 2016.	1.0 Alma
27	Dated. Materi 22, 2010.	WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28		CITIED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE